



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

INDIVIDUAL ABUSE of power, and misuse of opportunities, or their subservience to the accomplishment of personal ends, nowhere finds so flagrant manifestations as in the conduct pursued by some men who hold connection with great enterprises designed to promote public good, the very largeness of which too often serves as a safe shelter, not only from all exposure, but from inquiry that would only result in unpleasant revelations. And that is a false estimate of a man's duty which causes him to refrain from making bare such wrong-doings for fear of injury to the cause itself.

When, with an editor, the issue becomes one of personal friendship *vs.* public good, there should be no hesitation in making the sacrifice. There are, we believe, many flagrant wrongs in the management of several of our larger Art Institutions which will be made public in future numbers of THE ART REVIEW.

"I AM glad you do not affect the snobbery of ignoring chromos," said the whole-souled editor of *Old and New* very shortly after our first hand-shaking had given place to conversation upon congenial topics. Chromos are the pioneers of a more extended knowledge, and better appreciation of art in this country, and as missionaries of the beautiful to many a poor man's home,—not to say an inexpressible improvement upon so-called "works of art" that frequently cover the walls of aristocratic residences,—are deserving of respect, at least, from those who may happen to be a little more proficient in art-knowledge than their less fortunate neighbors; or who may happen to possess the means which enables them to gratify a taste perhaps not a whit more cultivated than that of others around them, whose art-treasures are only limited by the possibilities of their purses.

A CHARMING walk, along a well-trodden path that leads through a shady wood, brings one to the residence of President King, of Cornell College,—a genial man, far more abundantly endowed for the work which keeps hands and head and heart in a state of unintermitting activity, than is the place for him, financially considered. And in view of this fact, Hon. D. N. Cooley, of Dubuque, President of the Board of Trustees, with a characteristic generosity, for the current year, added, from his pocket, nearly a fourth to the President's former salary.

EDWARD E. HALE has taken a "new departure" in the interest of himself, lecture-hearing humanity, and common sense. Instead of talking on the philosophical questions of society, real progress, and right living, in a manner that, in his case, we must admit one cannot tire of, he is to sugar-coat his rostrum morals by hiding them in the form of a skillfully told story. So *excent* the old-time lecturer and enter the fascinating story-teller. We need not wish the "new departurist" either success or a score of imitators.

It is a good thing for a man sometimes to give loose rein to his noble impulses and desires. He is sure to agreeably astonish his friends; and, ten to one, he will be no less astonished himself.

## OUR SKETCH CLUB.

"CHIASTOLITE."

There are many in these present days whose weak vision is bewildered by the clear shining light in which more perfect eyes rejoice. And these owlsh ones, peering from their shadowed paths with dazed sight, perceive not the fair form of Truth standing above and apart from the masquerading crowd, her fine outline clearly defined in the golden radiance, of which she is the centre; but their blinking glances, showing many dancing, distorted shapes, with an arm or a finger of Truth joined to some grotesque and satyr like body, serve only to mislead them still more hopelessly. For they call themselves the champions of Truth, and deludedly dream of intellectual heights where the voice of creed and revelation shall no longer speak to them of allegiance, and where mind shall range at will in the pride of limitless power. While far to the right of their tumultuous and contending ranks sweep on in the beauty of unity, the noble army of the crusade against error, led by the clear-eyed and large-hearted ones, whose proven armor glitters in the rich light, and whose banner carries the white dove and the silver cross on the blue field of faith.

Long ago, in quaint dreamy Andalusia, was found a stone lamellate, and flushed with a pale rose tint. They called it "Chiastolite," for inshrined in its dark recesses lay a crystal cross. Vainly, oh, man, you turn with angry scorn from

the divine Truth, whose golden sandaled feet first touched the earth on Calvary's Hill—vainly, with discordant clamor, you drown the music of her voice that floats through the golden glooms of the gray ages, for, enshrined in the dark recesses of each rocky heart, hidden, unrecked of until the trial stroke reveal it, is the God-graven witness, the crystal cross of eternal truth, before which, in the light of life, or the shadow of death, your false philosophy shall shrink in silent confusion, and the soul shall assert its divine immortality.

OUR last trip to Boston was made pleasant by the company of a well known gentleman of Chicago, who, although not an artist himself, has probably done more for art and artists than any other man in the city. Taking the horse-cars from the Worcester depot, my companion, encumbered with manifold bags and parcels, reached the bell-rope with an effort, when he wished to get off, gave a vigorous pull, and the result was a sharp ring from both bells. "What are you ringing both ends for?" was the discourteous and surly inquiry of the conductor. "Because I wish the car to stop at both ends," was the quiet reply that left a convulsed car-load and discomfited conductor.

"TELL it not in Gath," was the adjuration of a Chicago school teacher the other day. "But I've been reading Bret Harte's stories in school all this week." "As a text-book on California Civilization?" "O, no. I've read to myself at odd moments, and Friday afternoon I told them 'Aliss' with all the graphic power at my command. And every soul of the two hundred urchins hung breathless, on my poor interpretation of the author's spirit." We obey the injunction as regards the place where the fact should not be made public, giving it in confidence to the Sketch Club as the latest method by which an enthusiastic admiration for Mr. Harte finds novel expression.

THE subject of appropriate interior decorations is coming to receive attention more nearly commensurate with its importance. This number of THE ART REVIEW contains a short and suggestive article upon the subject from Mr. Conrad Diehl, Instructor in the Chicago Academy of Design, a gentleman of excellent artistic taste and ability, who could himself, we have an idea, carry his own suggestions into practical execution in a manner eminently pleasing.

THE trials of an artist in Topeka, Kan., who evidently has too many irons in the fire, are thus graphically described by himself: "If variety be the spice of life I have it with a vengeance. It comes to me about as follows:

Sunday, grinding organ in church.

Monday, life size oil head.

Tuesday, tying vines and replanting.

Wednesday, fixing up photographs.

Thursday, grafting vines and sketching from nature.

Friday, resetting usage hedge.

Saturday, working frantically at art, and making garden.

DE CAPO, *ad libitum*."

## OUR CORRESPONDENCE.

TERMS OF TUITION AT THE CHICAGO ACADEMY.

"Will you allow me to make a statement of the *true* condition of things in regard to the schools of the Chicago Academy of Design?

There is a misunderstanding somewhere, and the statements are so extremely foreign to the knowledge of the members of the Council, or the teachers, that I beg to set things to rights.

In an article in THE ART REVIEW for May, I find the following:

"The tuition fee will be \$5.00 per month for rudimental classes, and \$6.00 for *coloring*. Instead of which, parties find upon application at the institution itself, that the expense for instruction in drawing is \$8.00 per month, and in *coloring*, \$50.00 for twenty-four lessons, or \$3.00 per week!"

Now by referring to our *first and only* school circular, I find these terms:

ANTIQUE.—(Drawing from the cast). Day class, open every day, from 9 A. M. till 6 P. M. \$5.00 per month. Evening class, open every evening from 7 till 10. \$3.50. Rudimentary class, open every day, from 9 A. M. till 6 P. M. \$5.00.

LIFE SCHOOLS.—Day class, open on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, from 9.30 till 12. \$8.00 per month. Evening class, open on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday, from 7 till 9 P. M. \$3.50 per month.

There is nothing at all about "*coloring*," and never was it officially announced. *Coloring*, in *still life*, has been taught by Mr. Diehl, but no extra charge was made. Neither has there ever been any official statement about \$50.00 for twenty-four lessons. I, for one, never heard such a thing ever talked of.

Again: Whatever "facts" the editor of *The Interior* obtained from Academicians—not members of the Council—must have been what they *guessed* at, and is worth, of course, whatever value he may place upon them. He certainly never got any such statements from me. He may have got it mixed with the former prices of the schools, or the artist's private classes, about which I know nothing. The editor is not to be blamed, however, for his report. His desire was to get all the information he could—and for the benefit of the school, for which he has our thanks. Probably the reason why he got these facts in this muddled condition was this:

At that time the schools were not organized. Many plans were discussed, of course, but there was nothing definite. The reason of the delay was that the Council was endeavoring to adopt some plan by which to make the schools as nearly free as possible, without increasing the already heavy tax upon the member's time and purses. It wanted the schools to be endowed, or otherwise supported, by outside contributions, and as this failed, it then allowed the teachers to charge the above fees as a very small remuneration for their time. The Academy—for this term—has not made any charge for rent, heat, light, or models, and has never received any money from the schools, except one dollar a month from such members of the Evening Life class, who were not members of the Academy.

The Academy is willing to support the schools to any reasonable extent, but it cannot now afford to pay the teachers and give away the teaching. Is not the public willing to step forward and aid us in this school matter? If it will but visit the school rooms and see the progress made since last fall, it may be convinced that the schools of this Academy are in the right way, and they should be as free as the city public schools. We invite inspection.

P. FISHE REED, *Cor. Secretary*, C. A. D.

GEO. L. BROWN AND THE PRINCE OF WALES.

The note affixed to the article on Mr. Brown, in the last number of the REVIEW, stating that a certain letter mentioned in the text had not been written by the Prince of Wales, but by his secretary, has been objected to, as misrepresenting the facts, inasmuch as a letter written by order of the Prince is, to all intents and purposes, a letter written by the Prince himself. To avoid all further controversy, we herewith print the letter entire:

MARLBOROUGH HOUSE,

PALL MALL, S. W., 31 May, 1867.

SIR I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter requesting me to make such inquiries as were in my power relating to the circumstances attending the presentation of a diamond pin by H. R. H. the Prince of Wales—& which His Royal Highness was pleased to return in acknowledgment of a painting by Mr. George Brown which had been offered for his acceptance by some gentlemen of New York—and was transmitted to England by an Agent named Nichols.—The object of these enquiries being to ascertain whether the pin was intended for the Artist Mr. G. Brown or the Agent.

On submitting your letter for the perusal of the Prince, His Royal highness was pleased to say that he could have no doubt that the pin was intended for the acceptance of the Artist & not the Agent—And on mentioning the matter to one or two gentlemen who were in the Suite of His Royal Highness at the time referred to they fully concurred in the Prince's opinion.

I am, Sir, yr. most obt servt

(signed)

W. KNOWLIS.

We have given the letter, not only *literatim et verbatim*, but have also faithfully followed its punctuation—if that may be said of a piece of writing which shows such sovereign contempt for commas and periods.

THE "TURTLES."

CINCINNATI, May, 1871.

E. H. TRAFTON.

Dear Sir.—In the May number of THE ART REVIEW, your Cincinnati correspondent, Mr. Benton, has been wrongly informed in regard to the Turtle Sketch Club being a part of the McMicken University. We are not connected with it in any way whatever. By correcting the mistake you will oblige the Turtle Tribe. By order of the Club.

THOS. W. RAYMOND, *Sec'y*.